

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/079,475		02/19/2002	Spencer Gold	SMQ-089/P6550	6691
959	7590	09/22/2004	EXAMINER		INER
		FIELD, LLP.	SUN, XIUQIN		
28 STATE S		na		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA 02109				2863	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

^
AY
wa
1

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/079,475	GOLD ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Xiuqin Sun	2863					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ju	ly 2004.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 19-38</u> is/are pending in the a	application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 19-29</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30-38</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30-38</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	·					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 19 February 2002 is/are	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte	d to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					
F							

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 30-32 and 35-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6542846) in view of Gunther et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2001/0021217).

Miller et al. teach a controller and method for monitoring a temperature of an integrated circuit (see Abstract), comprising the steps and means of: receiving a plurality of first values representative of a temperature of said integrated circuit (col. 4, lines 1-28 and col.4, lines 37-51); comparing said plurality of first values to a plurality of corresponding second values representative of a plurality of threshold temperatures (col.9, lines 9 and col. 10, lines 1-4); determining whether an over-temperature condition of said integrated circuit exists based on an output of said means and step for comparing (Fig. 5 and col.9, lines 9 and col. 10, lines 1-4). Miller et al. further teach: steps and means for determining a response to said over-temperature condition (col. 10, lines 5-30) and executing said response to said over-temperature condition (col. 10, lines 5-30).

Miller et al. do not mention: monitoring die temperatures of an integrated circuit; digitally filtering said output of said means for comparing before determining whether an over-temperature condition of said integrated circuit exists.

Gunther et al. teach an integrated on-chip thermal management system which has the functionality for monitoring die temperatures of an integrated circuit (section 0025). Gunther et al. further teach the step and means of digitally filtering the output of a thermal sensor before determining whether an over-temperature condition of an integrated circuit exists (sections 0035-0038 and 0050).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the teachings of Gunther et al. into the invention of Miller et al. in order to provide on-chip temperature control at one or more die locations of an integrated circuit (sections 0002, 0004, 0005 and 0010), wherein spurious signals can be dampened out or removed for accurate monitoring (Gunther et al., section 0036).

3. Claims 33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller et al. in view of Gunther et al., as applied to claims 30 above, and further in view of Ristic et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5291607).

Miller et al. and Gunther et al. teach an apparatus and method that includes the subject matter discussed above. Miller et al. and Gunther et al. do not mention explicitly that: a microprocessor capable of reading said means for receiving a plurality of first values and communicating with said means for determining whether an over-temperature condition of said integrated circuit exists; and said microprocessor is

Application/Control Number: 10/079,475 Page 4

Art Unit: 2863

capable of writing to said means for receiving a plurality of first values and verifies correct functioning of the controller.

Ristic et al. disclose a microprocessor having environmental sensing capability, and teach that: said microprocessor is capable of reading a plurality of first values representative of the sensed environment, and communicating with means for determining whether an undesirable condition of said environment exists (Fig. 1; col. 3, lines 21-32, lines 40-50 and col. 4, lines 50-63); and said microprocessor is capable of writing to said means for receiving a plurality of first values and verifies correct functioning of the controller (col. 4, lines 50-63).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the teaching of Ristic et al. in the combination of Miller et al. and Gunther et al. in order to separate the environmental sensing devices from the microprocessor and utilize said microprocessor to process data generated by said sensing devices and in turn allow control of power loads of the environment based on sensed signals (Ristic et al., col. 31-67).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-17 and 19-29 are allowed.

Reasons for Allowance

5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Application/Control Number: 10/079,475 Page 5

Art Unit: 2863

The primary reason for the allowance of claims 1-17 and 19-29 is the inclusion of the limitation of a comparator response logic coupled to a comparator for determining in response to a comparison of said first value to said second value by the comparator whether an over-temperature condition in said integrated circuit exists. It is this limitation found in each of the claims, as it is claimed in the combination, that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record which makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 30-38 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claims 30-38 are rejected as new ground of rejection has been found from the Gunther reference (U.S. Pub. No. 2001/0021217) to teach the concept and implementation of monitoring die temperatures of an integrated circuit. Detailed responses are given in sections 2 and 3 set forth above in this Office Action.

Application/Control Number: 10/079,475 Page 6

Art Unit: 2863

Contact Information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Xiuqin Sun whose telephone number is (571)272-2280. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571)272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Xiuqin Sun Examiner Art Unit 2863

September 13, 2004

/ John Barlow
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800